Enantioselective conjugate addition of thiols to enones and enals catalyzed by chiral *N*-oxide–cadmium complex

Makoto Saito, Makoto Nakajima* and Shunichi Hashimoto

Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0812, Japan. E-mail: nakajima@pharm.hokudai.ac.jp

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 28h July 2000, Accepted 14th August 2000 First published as an Advance Article on the web 14th September 2000

A novel method of enantioselective conjugate addition of thiols to enones and enals produces sulfides with enantio-selectivities up to 78% ee, employing a cadmium complex of (S)-3,3'-dimethyl-2,2'-biquinoline N,N'-dioxide 1 as a catalyst.

The development of enantioselective reactions requires the design of chiral ligands. Many recent studies have focused on the development of novel chiral ligands for use in metalcatalyzed reactions. Although *N*-oxide is a functional group possessing a unique electron-donating property, allowing it to form complexes with a variety of metals,¹ few attempts have been made to employ *N*-oxides as chiral ligands.^{2,3} We recently reported an enantioselective allylation of aldehydes with allyltrichlorosilanes utilizing a chiral *N*,*N*'-dioxide **1** as a



catalyst, exploiting the electron-donating property of the N-oxide.^{3c} Here, we describe an enantioselective conjugate addition of thiols to enones and enals catalyzed by a chiral N-oxide–cadmium complex.

Several chiral amine-catalyzed reactions have received considerable attention⁴ since the first report on the enantio-selective conjugate addition of thiols catalyzed by cinchona alkaloid.^{4a} Due to their high efficacy, catalysis of enantio-selective conjugate addition by lithium thiolate complexes of amino bisether,⁵ heterobimetallic complexes,⁶ and nickel oxazoline complexes⁷ are of particular interest.

Recently, we described the optical resolution of **1** through the hydrogen-bonding of **1** and optically active binaphthol.^{3*a*} We then hypothesized that thiophenol forms a hydrogen-bonding complex with **1**, controlling the nucleophilicity and steric accessibility of thiophenol to electron-deficient olefins in its enantioselective conjugate addition. We initially tested this hypothesis using 10 mol% of **1** as a catalyst in the conjugate addition of thiophenol to cyclohex-2-en-1-one in DCM. The reaction produced a significant yield of the corresponding sulfide, although the enantioselectivity was quite low (35%, 12% ee).

To enhance the reactivity and enantioselectivity, we used 10 mol% metal salt as an additive expected to coordinate with carbonyl oxygen. Among the various metal salts surveyed, addition of CdI₂ yielded the corresponding sulfide in high chemical quantity with moderate enantioselectivity (93%, 57% ee). Enantioselectivity increased up to 78% when the reaction was performed in toluene, whereas polar solvents decreased enantioselectivity substantially (THF: 99%, 0% ee; acetonitrile: 97%, 33% ee). Stoichiometric studies revealed that equimolar amounts of 1 and CdI₂ are sufficient for optimum enantioselectivity. The reaction was promoted by CdI₂ in the absence of *N*-oxide to produce the sulfide in 96% yield. These results

suggest that a 1:1 complex of **1** and CdI_{2^8} functions catalytically in this enantioselective addition. This is the first example of an enantioselective reaction utilizing a cadmium compound,⁹ exhibiting a distinctive binding to *N*-oxide.

Table 1 summarizes the conjugate addition of various thiols to cyclic enones under optimized conditions.[†] Slight modifications of the substrate strongly influenced enantioselectivity. Cyclohept-2-en-1-one (entry 2) gave an enantioselectivity comparable to that of cyclohex-2-en-1-one (entry 3), while cyclopent-2-en-1-one (entry 1) demonstrated low selectivity. It is surprising that the reaction of 4-methylthiophenol (entry 5) gave low selectivity compared to that of thiophenol (entry 3).

Although acyclic conjugate ketones were unsuccessful (chalcone: 30%, 10% ee), the reaction of acyclic conjugate aldehydes gives the corresponding sulfides in high chemical yields with enantioselectivities up to 70% ee after conversion to the corresponding alcohol (Table 2). The mildness of the reaction conditions allows the enantioselective conjugate addition of thiols to enals, a reaction never previously reported due to the lability of aldehydes.

To determine the reaction mechanism, we investigated several additives to the reaction of cyclohex-2-en-1-one and thiophenol in toluene. Addition of cyclohexanone (1.0 eq.) influenced neither chemical yield nor enantioselectivity (92%, 74% ee) of the reaction. The addition of cyclohexene (1.0 eq.), however, dramatically reduced enantioselectivity (92%, 45% ee). These results suggest the importance of the coordination of the cadmium complex to the carbon–carbon double bond, though the detail is not clear.

We have demonstrated the effectiveness of a chiral *N*-oxide– cadmium iodide complex as a catalyst for enantioselective conjugate addition of thiols to cyclic enones and enals. The present reaction provides the first example of utilizing a cadmium complex in an enantioselective reaction. Mechanistic studies and the design of chiral *N*-oxides, currently in progress, will further enhance enantioselectivity.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Table 1} \ \text{Enantioselective conjugate addition of thiols to enones catalyzed by } \\ \textbf{CdI}_2 \!\!-\!\! 1 \ \textbf{complex} \end{array}$

		-						
	($\frac{0}{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1}$	1 (1 mol %), Cdl ₂ (1 mol %) thiol (2 eq.), toluene, rt					
Entry	n	Thiol	Time/h	Yield (%)	Ee (%) ^a	Confgn ^b	$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{24c}$	
1	1	PhSH	4	94	21	_	+1.8	
2	3	PhSH	24	68	61	_	-27.2	
3	2	PhSH	6	96	78	S	-68.7	
4	2	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ SH	6	88	58	S	-43.2	
5	2	4-MeC ₆ H ₄ SH	12	40	29	S	-23.7	
6	2	PhCH ₂ SH	12	48	40	S	-43.1	

^{*a*} Determined by HPLC analysis employing Daicel Chiralpak AD or AS. ^{*b*} Configuration assignment by comparison to literature^{4*b*} values of optical rotations. ^{*c*} *c* 1, CHCl₃.

Table 2 Enantioselective conjugate addition of thiophenol to enals catalyzed by CdI_2-1 complex

R	СНО	1 (1 mol %) Cdl ₂ (1 mol %) PhSH (2 eq.) toluene, rt	→ [R´	SPh CHO NaBH ₄	SPh	
			_	MeOH	R ~ ~	ОН
Entry	R	Time/h	Yield (%)	Ee (%) ^a	Confgn	$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23b}$
1 2 3 4	Me Et ⁱ Pr PhCH ₂	12 12 24 24	89 91 80 76	69 70 63 52	Sc Sd Sd Sd	+24.9 +14.0 +24.8 +5.2 ^e

^{*i*} Determined by HPLC analysis employing a Daicel Chiralcel OD. ^{*b*} *c* 1, CHCl₃. ^{*c*} Configuration assignment by comparison to literature⁵*a* values of optical rotations. ^{*d*} Configuration assignment by analogy. ^{*e*} *c* 1, benzene.

This work was partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan and Otsuka Chemical Award in Synthetic Organic Chemistry, Japan. The authors thank the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Research Fellowships for Young Scientists (to M. S.).

Notes and references

† The conjugate addition of thiol to enone was performed as follows: Thiophenol (220 mg, 2.0 mmol) in toluene (1 mL) was added to a stirred solution of cyclohex-2-en-1-one (96 mg, 1.0 mmol), *N*-oxide **1** (3.2 mg, 10 µmol) and CdI₂ (3.6 mg, 10 µmol) in toluene (7 mL) and stirred for 6 h at rt. The reaction mixture was diluted in toluene and successively washed with aq. NaOH and brine. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column (eluent, toluene–AcOEt, 100:1) to afford 3-phenylthiocyclohexanone (198 mg, 96%) as an oil. *N*-Oxide **1** was recovered by elution with 10% EtOH in DCM without a loss of optical purity. The ee of the product was determined by chiral HPLC (Daicel Chiralpak AD, hexane–PrⁱOH, 9:1, 1.0 mL min⁻¹, $t_{\rm R}$ (S), 8.5 min; (R), 10.0 min).

- 1 For a review on amine *N*-oxide complexes, see: N. M. Karayannis, L. L. Pytlewski and C. M. Mikulski, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1973, **11**, 93.
- 2 (a) M. B. Diana, M. Marchetti and G. Melloni, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1995, 6, 1175; (b) I. A. O'Neil, C. D. Turner and S. B. Kalindjian, *Synlett*, 1997, 777; (c) G. Dyker, B. Hölzer and G. Henkel, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1999, 10, 3297; (d) K. Miura and T. Katsuki, *Synlett*, 1999, 783.
- 3 (a) M. Nakajima, Y. Sasaki, M. Shiro and S. Hashimoto, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1997, **8**, 341; (b) M. Nakajima, Y. Sasaki, H. Iwamoto and S. Hashimoto, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, **39**, 87; (c) M. Nakajima, M. Saito, M. Shiro and S. Hashimoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 6419; (d) M. Nakajima, M. Saito and S. Hashimoto, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 2000, **48**, 306.
- 4 (a) R. Helder, R. Arends, W. Bolt, H. Hiemstra and H. Wynberg, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1977, 25, 2181; (b) H. Hiemstra and H. Wynberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 417; (c) J. Gawronski, K. Gawronska and H. Wynberg, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1981, 307; (d) N. Kobayashi and K. Iwai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 7071; (e) N. Kobayashi, Polym. J., 1981, 16, 205; (f) T. Mukaiyama, A. Ikegawa and K. Suzuki, Chem. Lett., 1981, 165; (g) K. Suzuki, A. Ikegawa and T. Mukaiyama, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1982, 55, 3277; (h) H. Yamashita and T. Mukaiyama, Chem. Lett., 1985, 363; (i) A. Kumar, R. V. Salunkhe, R. A. Rane and S. Y. Dike, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1991, 485.
- 5 (a) K. Nishimura, M. Ono, Y. Nagaoka and K. Tomioka, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, **119**, 12 974; (b) K. Tomioka, M. Okuda, K. Nishimura, S. Manabe, M. Kanai, Y. Nagaoka and K. Koga, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, **39**, 2141.
- 6 (a) G. Manickam and G. Sundararajan, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1997, 13, 2271; (b) E. Emori, T. Arai, H. Sasai and M. Shibasaki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, 120, 4043.
- 7 S. Kanemasa, Y. Oderaotoshi and E. Wada, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, **121**, 8675.
- 8 Pyridine *N*-oxide is known to form a complex with CdI₂. See: M. Nieuwenhuyzen, W. T. Robinson and C. J. Wilkins, *Polyhedron*, 1991, **10**, 2111.
- 9 For the application of CdI₂ as a catalyst, see: (a) D. Prajapati and J. S. Sandhu, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1993, 739; (b) B. Baruah, A. Boruah, D. Prajapati and J. S. Sandhu, Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 38, 1449; (c) D. D. Laskar, D. Prajapati and J. S. Sandhu, Chem. Lett., 1999, 1283.